UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/738,911	12/17/2003	David W. Jenkins	2376.2009-000	8354	
21005 HAMILTON, I	7590 06/25/2007 BROOK, SMITH & RE	EXAM	EXAMINER		
530 VIRGINIA ROAD			MOUTAOUAKIL, MOUNIR		
P.O. BOX 9133 CONCORD, M		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
•			2616		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			06/25/2007	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

					μ			
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		Applica	tion No.	Applicant(s)	•			
Office Action Summary		10/738,	911	JENKINS ET AL.				
		Examin	er	Art Unit				
			Moutaouakil	2616 .				
Period f	The MAILING DATE of this communor Reply	nication appears on t	he cover sheet w	vith the correspondence addres	is			
WHIC - Exte after - If NC - Failt Any	CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE Nonsions of time may be available under the provisions of time may be available under the provisions of SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailling date of this common of the provision of the pr	MAILING DATE OF T is of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no of munication. statutory period will apply and y will, by statute, cause the a	THIS COMMUNI event, however, may a will expire SIX (6) MOI pplication to become A	ICATION. reply be timely filed NTHS from the mailing date of this commut BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status								
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) file	led on <u>12-17-2003</u> .						
2a) <u></u> ☐	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.							
3)□	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Disposit	tion of Claims		•					
4) 🖂	Claim(s) 1-18 is/are pending in the	application.						
,—	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/a		consideration.					
5) 🗌	Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
6)🖂	Claim(s) <u>1-18</u> is/are rejected.			•				
7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
8) 🗌	Claim(s) are subject to restri	iction and/or election	requirement.					
Applicat	ion Papers							
9)🛛	The specification is objected to by the	ne Examiner.						
10)🛛	The drawing(s) filed on 17 December	<u>er 2003</u> is/are: a)⊠	accepted or b)	ceil objected to by the Examiner	î.			
	Applicant may not request that any obje	ection to the drawing(s) be held in abeya	nce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).				
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including	g the correction is requ	uired if the drawing	g(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.	.121(d).			
11)	The oath or declaration is objected t	to by the Examiner. I	Note the attache	d Office Action or form PTO-1	52.			
Priority	under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
12)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim	n for foreign priority u	ınder 35 U.S.C.	§ 119(a)-(d) or (f).				
, —	☐ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:							
	1. Certified copies of the priority	y documents have be	en received.					
	2. Certified copies of the priority	y documents have be	en received in A	Application No				
	3. Copies of the certified copies	of the priority docur	nents have beer	n received in this National Stag	је			
	application from the Internation	•		•				
* (See the attached detailed Office action	on for a list of the ce	rtified copies not	ł received.				
Attachmer	nt(s)							
	ce of References Cited (PTO-892)	:DTO 0.40;		Summary (PTO-413)				
	ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (I mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)		5) Notice of	(s)/Mail Date Informal Patent Application				
	er No(s)/Mail Date		6) 🔲 Other:	·				

Art Unit: 2616

DETAILED ACTION

Specification

1. The title of the invention is not descriptive. A new title is required that is clearly indicative of the invention to which the claims are directed.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

2. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (e) The invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.
- 3. Claims 1-5, 7-13 and 15-18 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Agrawal et al (US 2003/0081546). Hereinafter referred to as Agrawal.

Regarding claim 1, 2, 10, and 18. Agrawal discloses a method for grooming network traffic in a digital cross connect. The method comprises grooming inbound traffic at a first transport switch for at least one local switch (see figure 2, elements 56, and 136); and grooming outbound traffic at a second transport switch for the at least one local switch (see figure 2, elements 56, and 152). The grooming inbound and outbound traffic is performed independently (see figure 2, elements 136 and 152).

Regarding claims 3 and 11. Agrawal discloses a method where the grooming of inbound and outbound traffic is performed free of tandem tying the first and second

Art Unit: 2616

transport switches (see figure 2, where the optical network is between the grooming

devices).

Regarding claims 4 and 12. The method of Agrawal further includes configuring the at least one local switch to operate with the first and second transport switches (see figure 2, elements 56, 152 and 136).

Regarding claim 5 and 13. The method of Agrawal further includes performing protocol switching at the at least on local switch (see figure 2, elements 56. both elements 56 are protocol switches. They switch incoming packets).

Regarding claims 7 and 15. The method of Agrawal wherein the transport switches are at least one of the wideband crossconnect switches, narrowband crossconnect switches, or broadband crossconnect switches (see figure 2. data is being groomed in order to carry low data rate traffic through a high data rate traffic and vice versa).

Regarding claims 8 and 16. The method of Agrawal is performed in a central office (see paragraph 88).

Regarding claims 9 and 17. The method of Agrawal is performed in an electrical, optical, or wireless network (see figure 2, the optical ring).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

4. This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation

Art Unit: 2616

under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

- 5. The factual inquiries set forth in *Graham* v. *John Deere Co.*, 383 U.S. 1, 148 USPQ 459 (1966), that are applied for establishing a background for determining obviousness under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) are summarized as follows:
 - 1. Determining the scope and contents of the prior art.
 - 2. Ascertaining the differences between the prior art and the claims at issue.
 - 3. Resolving the level of ordinary skill in the pertinent art.
 - 4. Considering objective evidence present in the application indicating obviousness or nonobviousness.
- 6. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 7. Claims 6 and 14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Agrawal.

Agrawal discloses all the limitations of claim 1.

Agrawal's system does not perform grooming at a third transport switch.

However, since it is well known to perform grooming at two transport switches as disclosed in claim 1, the examiner takes an official notice that it's known to perform grooming or shaping at at least a third transport switch. Thus, it would have been obvious to the person of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to perform

Art Unit: 2616

multiple grooming or shaping at at least a third transport switch. The motivation for performing grooming at at least a third, or more, transport switch being that it will allow data grooming throughout the network. Moreover, it will allow a smooth switch transition from one data rate traffic to another.

Conclusion

8. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. See PTO_892.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Mounir Moutaouakil whose telephone number is 571-270-1416. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday (4pm-4: 30pm) eastern time.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Hassan Kizou can be reached on 571-272-3088. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Art Unit: 2616

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Mounir Moutaouakil Art Unit 2616

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2600